From: Sent: Lawrence Spector [Ispector@nai.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:59 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Spector 12316 Exbury St. Herndon, VA 20170

From: Sent: Amit Belani [amitb18@hotmail.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:54 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Amit Belani 796 Bronx River Road, #B65 Bronxville. NY 10708

From: Sent: Pratap Pereira [pereira@picotera.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:44 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Pratap Pereira 1092 Strayer Dr San Jose, CA 95129 USA

From: Sent: Joshua Harriman [josh@arcticlounge.com]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:21 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joshua Harriman 1610 Worcester Road Apartment 541A Framingham, MA 01702 USA

From: Sent: John Baker [drjohnbaker@earthlink.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:18 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Baker Box 16501 Austin, TX 78761 USA

From: Sent: Alan Basque [brkptr50@yahoo.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:07 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Alan Basque 1 Coolidge Pl #605 Clinton, MA 01510 USA

From:

Rev.Shane arsenault [kisain_pendragon@charter.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:03 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flage technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rev.Shane arsenault 1208 division st Marquette, MI 49855

From: Sent:

Phil Moors [pmoors@greenbelt.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:37 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Phil Moors 8B Hillside Rd Greenbelt, MD 20770 USA

From: Sent:

Eric Koperda [eric@koperda.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:24 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eric Koperda 729 Woodbridge Dr Bloomington, IN 47408 USA

From: Sent: John Ronciak [ronch@ronch.org] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:45 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Ronciak 3145 NW Ashland Dr. Beaverton, OR 97006 USA

From: Sent:

Dean Kaplan [dkaplan@slomedia.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:34 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dean Kaplan 4655 Snapdragon Way San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 USA

From: Sent:

Mark Morgan [mark_morgan@myself.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:31 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Morgan 522 High Berry Lane Draper, UT 84020 USA

From: Sent: Timothy Downing [tdowning31@comcast.net]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:29 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Timothy Downing 727 Pagel Lincoln Park, MI 48146 USA

From: Sent: Derek Slater [slater@fas.harvard.edu] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:28 AM

To: Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

I would like to remind the FCC that, in previous rulings, it has already provided ample arguments against mandating a broadcast flag. As the FCC noted earlier this year in its cross-ownership rulemaking, over the air TV is now only one small component of a media market that includes print, radio, cable, and the Internet. By mandating a broadcast flag, you let one small market control the design of each and every technology that could touch digitial video. Indeed, the flag will extend to every person's PC video hardware.

Moreover, the FCC has begun to investigate whether to pursue more spectrum auctions or to create a open spectrum "commons." Those future licensees, who could use the spectrum for digital TV, have not been included in this process. Until the FCC knows how it will alter its spectrum policies, it would be unwise to let incumbents determine what the airwaves need.

Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Derek Slater 2350 Harvard Yard Mail Center Cambridge, MA 02138 USA

From: Sent: Scott Quick [speakerman@rocketmail.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:25 AM

To: Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Scott Quick N68W36783 County Road K Apt A Oconomowoc, WI 53066 USA

From: Sent: Eric Stieglitz [eric74@ericjs.com]
Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:07 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

As a computer professional, I believe that this flag would do nothing to elimninate piracy and would only make it more difficult for paying American consumers to exercise their fair use rights.

Consider the region-encoding already on DVDs sold by the major movie studios. Cutside the USA, DVD players which ignore the region encoding are readily available, and region encoding is useless. Therefore, I can only conclude that region encoding's primary purpose is to frustrate American consumers and prevent them from watching foreign films which may never be released in the USA.

I firmly believe that this broadcast flag will be just as useless and just as frustrating as DVD region encoding. Piracy will exist no matter what action the FCC takes on this issue. Real pirates will easily disable the flag on their equipment.

I urge you to protect my right to fair use of materials, and send a strong message to the movie studios that they should stop meddling in the rights of American consumers. Electronics products should primarily do what **I** want them to do and protect **MY** interests. If the equipment instead decides to look over my shoulder, and tell me what I can and can't do, then I'll stop buying.

Please reject the broadcast flag.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Eric Stieglitz 750 Columbus Ave New York, NY 10025 USA

From: Sent: David Chubb [dchubb@eudoramail.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:04 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Chubb 1715 Pratt Drive Suite 3600 Blacksburg, VA 24060 USA

From: Sent: Chris Briggs [cabriggs@iname.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:03 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Briggs 57 6th Ave Lowell, MA 01854 USA

From: Sent: David Chubb [dchubb@eudoramail.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:03 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Chubb 1715 Pratt Drive Suite 3600 Blacksburg, VA 24060 USA

From: Sent:

Chris Briggs [cabriggs@iname.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:04 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Briggs 57 6th Ave Lowell, MA 01854

From: Sent: Christopher Wolff [wmax@linaeum.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 11:02 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christopher Wolff 1788 Pocket Rd. Hurt, VA 24563 USA

From: Sent:

Karen Pachla [pachla1@ameritech.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:50 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Karen Pachla 36980 Munger Livonia, MI 48154 USA

From: Sent: Leon Stone [Hilarion_53@yahoo.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:49 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Leon Stone 1109 Rock City Road Rock City Falls, NY 12863

From: Sent: Ralf Patterson [ralf_patterson@yahoo.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:43 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ralf Patterson 17392 Haggerty Rd. Belleville, MI 48111 USA

From: Sent: David Dawson [dawsod@cableone.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:14 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood: Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Dawson 703 W Mescalero Rd Roswell, NM 88201 USA